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#### Abstract

Preserving connectivity is an important property commonly required for object discretization. Connectivity of a discretized object differs depending on how to discretize its original object. The morphological discretization is known to be capable of controlling the connectivity of a discretized object, by selecting appropriate structuring elements. The analytical approximation, which approximates the morphological discretization by a finite number of inequalities, on the other hand, is recently introduced to reduce the computational cost required for the morphological discretization. However, whether this approximate discretization has the same connectivity that the morphological discretization has is yet to be investigated. In this paper, we study the connectivity relationship between the morphological discretization and the analytical approximation, focusing on 2D explicit curves. We show that they guarantee the same connectivity for 2D explicit curves.
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## 1 Introduction

An object such as a curve or line is continuous in the real world while in the computer it is discretized to be stored and manipulated. We therefore need a discrete representation of a given object, which differs depending on how to discretize it. An important property commonly required for object discretization is to preserve the connectivity of an original object. In this paper, we consider discretization of a explicit continuous curve in 2D, i.e., a continuous function in the form of $y=f(x)$ in the $x y$-plane, primarily focusing on the connectivity of discretized curves.

Two integer points $\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w}(\boldsymbol{v} \neq \boldsymbol{w}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ are said to be 0 -adjacent if $\|\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}\|_{\infty} \leq 1$, and 1-adjacent if $\|\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}\|_{1} \leq 1$ (Fig. 1). Note that $\|(x, y)\|_{\infty}=\max (|x|,|y|)$ and $\|(x, y)\|_{1}=|x|+|y|$. A set of integer points $D \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ is said to be $k$-connected for $k \in\{0,1\}$, if for any two points $\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w}(\boldsymbol{v} \neq \boldsymbol{w}) \in D$ there exists a sequence of integer points in $D$ connecting $\boldsymbol{v}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}$, such that any two consecutive points in the sequence are $k$-adjacent. Figure. 2 shows $k$-connected sets for $k=0,1$. We remark that if $D$ is 1 -connected then it is 0 -connected.

The discretization most commonly used is the morphological discretization [11-14]. In this approach, for a continuous curve, its discretized curve is defined as a set of the integer points, whose
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Figure 1: $k$-adjacent points of a discrete point $\boldsymbol{v}$.


Figure 2: $k$-connected sets in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$.

Minkowski additions with a so-called structuring element intersects with the original curve. Some classical discretizations, such as the supercover discretization [9] or the grid-intersection discretization [15], can be seen as particular cases of the morphological discretization. The morphological discretization can control the connectivity in the discrete space of a discretized curve by selecting appropriate structuring elements [6-8,21-23].

How to discretize a curve and how to compute its discretized one are different issues. The computational cost required for the morphological discretization is expensive. On the other hand, representing a discretized curve by a finite set of Diophantine inequalities (from which we choose only integer points) was introduced in [19], where a discrete 2D straight line is defined by two inequalities. Such a representation, called the analytical representation, has been developed for more complicated discrete curves in subsequent researches [ $1-5,10,22,23$ ]. A discretized curve with an analytical representation is straightforwardly computed at low cost, just by evaluating inequalities for each integer point. This property is useful also for curve fitting problems [16-18, 20, 24, 25]. To reduce the computational cost further, an approximation of the analytical representation was recently introduced in [22], where only vertices of the employed structuring element are evaluated to have the system of Diophantine inequalities. This approximation is capable of handling even further complicated (and implicit) curves/surfaces in any dimensions. However, whether this approximation, called the analytical approximation, has the same connectivity that the original morphological discretization has is yet to be investigated.

In this paper, we study the relationship on the connectivity between discretized 2 D explicit curves, by the morphological discretization and by the analytical approximation. We show that the analytical approximation has the same connectivity that the morphological discretization has for 2D explicit curves.


Figure 3: Two different interpretations of morphological discretization $D_{S}(E)$. Red points depict $D_{S}(E)$.

## 2 Morphological discretization and analytical approximation

In this section, we first introduce the general definition of the morphological discretization, with two structuring elements respectively guaranteeing 1-connectivity and 0 -connectivity (Section 2.1). We then give the analytical approximation of the morphological discretization of a 2 D explicit curve with these structuring elements, based on the approach introduced in [22] (Section 2.2).

### 2.1 Morphological discretization

A morphological discretization (see [11-14]) of a curve $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, with a structuring element $S \subset$ $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{S}(E)=(E \oplus \check{S}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\check{S}=\{-\boldsymbol{s}: \boldsymbol{s} \in S\}$. $\oplus$ denotes the Minkowski addition $(E \oplus \check{S}=\{\boldsymbol{e}+\check{\boldsymbol{s}}: \boldsymbol{e} \in E, \check{\boldsymbol{s}} \in S\}$ ). (1) can be also written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{S}(E)=\left\{\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}:(\boldsymbol{v} \oplus S) \cap E \neq \emptyset\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 3 illustrates the two different interpretations of $D_{S}(E)$ in (1) and (2).
Using different structuring elements for the same curve results in different discretizations, and in particular, different connectivities (see Fig. 4 for example). How to select an appropriate structuring element is therefore important. In this paper, we consider two structuring elements defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{\infty} & =\left\{\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\} \\
B_{1} & =\left\{\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_{1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The morphological discretization with $B_{\infty}$, i.e., $D_{B_{\infty}}(E)$, is equivalent to the supercover discretization of $E$, which is known to be 1-connected if $E$ is connected in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ [21] (Fig. 4(a)). $D_{B_{1}}(E)$, on the other hand, is 0 -connected for connected $E$ (Fig. 4(b)), which has yet to be reported to the best of our knowledge; here we give its proof.


Figure 4: Morphological discretizations using structuring elements $B_{\infty}$ and $B_{1}$. (a) is 1 -connected while (b) is 0 -connected.


Figure 5: Illustration for proof of Theorem 1. Blue region depicts $\left\{\boldsymbol{u}_{i}\right\} \oplus B_{1}$, while red region depicts $A_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{i}\right) \oplus B_{1}$.

Theorem 1. $D_{B_{1}}(E)$ is 0 -connected for connected $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, as long as it has at least two different integer points.

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{t}$ be any two different integer points in $D_{B_{1}}(E)$. We show that there exists a sequence of integer points from $s$ and $t$ in $D_{B_{1}}(E)$, such that any two consecutive points in the sequence are 0 -adjacent. We call such a sequence a 0 -path from $\boldsymbol{s}$ to $\boldsymbol{t}$ in $D_{B_{1}}(E)$. We denote by $\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ intersection points of $E$ respectively with $\{s\} \oplus B_{1}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{t}\} \oplus B_{1}$. Then, since $E$ is connected, there exists a segment $C \subset E$ whose end points are $\boldsymbol{p}$ and $\boldsymbol{q}$. We now consider the unique path along $C$ from $\boldsymbol{p}$ to $\boldsymbol{q}$, with collecting in the path integer points $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_{n}$, whose Minkowski additions with $B_{1}$ (i.e., $\left\{\boldsymbol{u}_{i}\right\} \oplus B_{1}, i=1, \ldots, n$ ) intersect with $C$. This process makes a 0 -path from $s$ to $\boldsymbol{t}$ in $D_{B_{1}}(E)$, which is proven as follows. First, it is obvious that $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}=s$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_{n}=\boldsymbol{t}$. Next, $\left\{\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_{n}\right\} \subset D_{B_{1}}(E)$ because $\left\{\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_{n}\right\}=D_{B_{1}}(C)$ and $C \subset E$. Finally, we show that $\boldsymbol{u}_{i+1}$ is a 0 -adjacent point of $\boldsymbol{u}_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$. We denote by $A_{0}(\boldsymbol{v})$ the set of the 0 -adjacent points of $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ (see Fig. 1(a)). Any point in $\left\{\boldsymbol{u}_{i}\right\} \oplus B_{1}$ is then either contained also in $A_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{i}\right) \oplus B_{1}$ or enclosed by it as in Fig. 5. Therefore, the path along $C$ from an intersection point with $\left\{\boldsymbol{u}_{i}\right\} \oplus B_{1}$ toward the terminal point $\boldsymbol{q}$, has to cross $A_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{i}\right) \oplus B_{1}$ before reaching the "outside" of it. This indicates that $\boldsymbol{u}_{i+1} \in A_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{i}\right)$. There exists a 0 -path from $\boldsymbol{s}$ to $\boldsymbol{t}$, accordingly.

### 2.2 Analytical approximation

Computing $D_{S}(E)$ for a given $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $S=B_{\infty}, B_{1}$ requires evaluating for each $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ whether or not $\{\boldsymbol{v}\} \oplus S$ intersects with $E$. This is computationally expensive. When $E$ is an explicit
curve, i.e., in the form of $y=f(x)$, however, we can compute it approximately at low cost (within a finite region in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ ) based on the approach introduced in [22].

A 2D explicit continuous curve is represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: y=f(x)\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. From (2), for $E$ in (3), $D_{S}(E)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{S}(E)=\left\{\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}, y_{\mathrm{int}}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}: y_{\mathrm{int}}+t_{y}=f\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+t_{x}\right) \text { for } \exists\left(t_{x}, t_{y}\right) \in S\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $y_{\mathrm{int}}+t_{y}=f\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+t_{x}\right)$ means $\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+t_{x}, y_{\mathrm{int}}+t_{y}\right) \in E$. Since $f$ is continuous, the intermediate-value theorem allows for connected $S$ to rewrite (4) as

$$
D_{S}(E)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll} 
& y_{\mathrm{int}} \geq \min _{\left(t_{x}, t_{y}\right) \in S}\left(f\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+t_{x}\right)-t_{y}\right)  \tag{5}\\
\left.\max _{\mathrm{int}}, y_{\mathrm{int}}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}: \\
y_{\mathrm{int}} \leq \max _{\left(t_{x}, t_{y}\right) \in S}\left(f\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+t_{x}\right)-t_{y}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Note that both $B_{\infty}$ and $B_{1}$ are connected.
For $S=B_{\infty}, B_{1}$, unfortunately, evaluating the minimum and maximum of $f\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+t_{x}\right)-t_{y}$ with respect to $\left(t_{x}, t_{y}\right) \in S$ is practically impossible, because $S$ has infinite elements. Following [22], however, we can approximately compute (5) by replacing $S=B_{\infty}, B_{1}$ with finite subsets $V_{\infty}, V_{1}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{\infty} & =\left\{\left(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right),\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right),\left(\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right),\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right\} \\
V_{1} & =\left\{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right),\left(0,-\frac{1}{2}\right),\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right),\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

They are the sets of the vertices respectively of $B_{\infty}$ and $B_{1}$ as in Fig. 6. We then obtain the analytical approximations for $D_{B_{\infty}}(E)$ and $D_{B_{1}}(E)$ respectively as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{V_{\infty}}^{\prime}(E)=\left\{\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}, y_{\mathrm{int}}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}: \begin{array}{l}
y_{\mathrm{int}} \geq \min \left\{f\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}-\frac{1}{2}\right), f\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}-\frac{1}{2}, \\
\left.y_{\mathrm{int}} \leq \max \left\{f\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}-\frac{1}{2}\right), f\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}+\frac{1}{2}\right\} \\
D_{V_{1}}^{\prime}(E)=\left\{\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}, y_{\mathrm{int}}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}: \begin{array}{l}
y_{\mathrm{int}} \geq \min \left\{f\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}-\frac{1}{2}\right), f\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+\frac{1}{2}\right), f\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right\} \\
y_{\mathrm{int}} \leq \max \left\{f\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}-\frac{1}{2}\right), f\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+\frac{1}{2}\right), f\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\right\}
\end{array}\right\}
\end{array} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}, y_{\mathrm{int}}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, the inequalities in (5) are evaluated at only the four vertices of $S=$ $B_{\infty}$ (resp. $B_{1}$ ) in $D_{V_{\infty}}^{\prime}(E)$ (resp. $D_{V_{1}}^{\prime}(E)$ ), while they have to be evaluated at all the points in $S=B_{\infty}$ (resp. $B_{1}$ ) in the morphological discretization. Therefore the analytical approximation is computationally more inexpensive than the morphological discretization. On the other hand, the analytical approximation may fail in collecting some integer points involved in the morphological discretization as in Figs. 7 and 8 (in the next section, we will see that such cases arise when the Minkowski addition of an integer point and $B_{\infty}$ [resp. $\left.B_{1}\right]$ is intersected by $E$, but not by its piecewise linear approximation defined in (6) [resp. (7)]). We remark that we can also replace $B_{\infty}$ and $B_{1}$ with larger finite subsets than $V_{\infty}$ and $V_{1}$ for more accurate approximation. However, $V_{\infty}$ and $V_{1}$ are sufficient at least to obtain the same connectivities as the morphological discretization, which is proven in the next section.

## 3 Connectivity relation between morphological discretization and analytical approximation

In this section, we show that the analytical approximation for a 2 D explicit curve introduced in the last section has the same connectivity in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ that its morphological discretization has. To prove this,


Figure 6: $V_{\infty}$ and $V_{1}$ (red points). They are the sets of the vertices respectively of $B_{\infty}$ and $B_{1}$ (depicted in blue).

(a) $D_{B_{\infty}}(E)$.

(b) $D_{V_{\infty}}^{\prime}(E)$.

Figure 7: $D_{B_{\infty}}(E)$ and $D_{V_{\infty}}^{\prime}(E)$ (red points on the grids) for $E=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: y=\right.$ $\left.f(x)=0.4571 x^{3}-3.127 x^{2}+5.019 x+1.228\right\}$. In (b), points $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \oplus V_{\infty}$ satisfying $y>f(x)$ are depicted in green, while those satisfying $y<f(x)$ in orange; an integer point $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ is in $D_{V_{\infty}}^{\prime}(E)$ iff $\{\boldsymbol{v}\} \oplus V_{\infty}$ (four points) are depicted in both colors or include a point on $E$.
we show that the discretization of an explicit curve $E$ by the analytical approximation can be seen as the morphological discretization of a piecewise linear approximation of $E$. We first show that $D_{V_{\infty}}^{\prime}(E)$ has the same connectivity with $D_{B_{\infty}}(E)$.
Theorem 2. $D_{V_{\infty}}^{\prime}(E)$ is 1-connected.
Proof. We show that $D_{V_{\infty}}^{\prime}(E)=D_{B_{\infty}}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ for $E^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: y=f^{\prime}(x)\right\}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=f\left(\left\lfloor x+\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\left(x-\left(\left\lfloor x+\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)\left(f\left(\left\lfloor x+\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor+\frac{1}{2}\right)-f\left(\left\lfloor x+\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) .
$$

$E^{\prime}$ is a piecewise linear approximation of $E$ as in Fig. 9. We remark that $f^{\prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+\frac{1}{2}\right)=f\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+\frac{1}{2}\right)$, and $f^{\prime}(x)$ is linear within $\left[x_{\text {int }}-\frac{1}{2}, x_{\text {int }}+\frac{1}{2}\right]$ for $\forall x_{\text {int }} \in \mathbb{Z} . D_{B_{\infty}}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ is 1 -connected because $E^{\prime}$ is connected in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.


Figure 8: $D_{B_{1}}(E)$ and $D_{V_{1}}^{\prime}(E)$ (red points on the grids) for $E=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: y=\right.$ $\left.f(x)=0.4571 x^{3}-3.127 x^{2}+5.019 x+1.228\right\}$. In (b), points $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \oplus V_{1}$ satisfying $y>f(x)$ are depicted in green, while those satisfying $y<f(x)$ in orange; an integer point $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ is in $D_{V_{1}}^{\prime}(E)$ iff $\{\boldsymbol{v}\} \oplus V_{1}$ (four points) are depicted in both colors or include a point on $E$.


Figure 9: $E$ and $E^{\prime}$.

From (5), $D_{B_{\infty}}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ is written as

$$
D_{B_{\infty}}\left(E^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}, y_{\mathrm{int}}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}: \begin{array}{l}
y_{\mathrm{int}} \geq \min _{\left(t_{x}, t_{y}\right) \in B_{\infty}}\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+t_{x}\right)-t_{y}\right), \\
y_{\mathrm{int}} \leq \max _{\left(t_{x}, t_{y}\right) \in B_{\infty}}\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+t_{x}\right)-t_{y}\right)
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

We will transform it into $D_{V_{\infty}}^{\prime}(E)$. Since $t_{x}$ and $t_{y}$ are independent of each other for $\left(t_{x}, t_{y}\right) \in B_{\infty}$, the extrema of $f^{\prime}\left(x_{\text {int }}+t_{x}\right)-t_{y}$ with respect to $\left(t_{x}, t_{y}\right)$ are obtained by minimizing and maximizing it independently for $t_{x}$ and $t_{y}$. With respect to $t_{y}$, it is obviously minimal with $t_{y}=\frac{1}{2}$, and maximal with $t_{y}=-\frac{1}{2}$. With respect to $t_{x}$, on the other hand, the extrema are at $t_{x}=-\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ because $f^{\prime}(x)$ is linear within $\left[x_{\text {int }}-\frac{1}{2}, x_{\text {int }}+\frac{1}{2}\right]$ for $\forall x_{\text {int }} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consequently,

$$
D_{B_{\infty}}\left(E^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}, y_{\mathrm{int}}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}: \begin{array}{l}
y_{\mathrm{int}} \geq \min \left\{f^{\prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}-\frac{1}{2}\right), f^{\prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}-\frac{1}{2} \\
\\
y_{\mathrm{int}} \leq \max \left\{f^{\prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}-\frac{1}{2}\right), f^{\prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}+\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

which is equal to $D_{V_{\infty}}^{\prime}(E)$, because $f^{\prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{int}} \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)=f\left(x_{\mathrm{int}} \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)$ for $\forall x_{\mathrm{int}} \in \mathbb{Z}$.


Figure 10: $E$ and $E^{\prime \prime}$.

We next show that $D_{V_{1}}^{\prime}(E)$ has the same connectivity with $D_{B_{1}}(E)$.
Theorem 3. $D_{V_{1}}^{\prime}(E)$ is 0 -connected.
Proof. We show that $D_{V_{1}}^{\prime}(E)=D_{B_{1}}\left(E^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for $E^{\prime \prime}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime \prime}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: y=f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
f^{\prime \prime}(x)=f\left(\frac{\lfloor 2 x\rfloor}{2}\right)+2\left(x-\frac{\lfloor 2 x\rfloor}{2}\right)\left(f\left(\frac{\lfloor 2 x\rfloor+1}{2}\right)-f\left(\frac{\lfloor 2 x\rfloor}{2}\right)\right) .
$$

$E^{\prime \prime}$ is a piecewise linear approximation of $E$ as in Fig. 10. We remark that $f^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{x_{\text {int }}}{2}\right)=f\left(\frac{x_{\text {int }}}{2}\right)$, and $f^{\prime \prime}(x)$ is linear within $\left[\frac{x_{\text {int }}}{2}, \frac{x_{\text {int }}+1}{2}\right]$ for $\forall x_{\text {int }} \in \mathbb{Z}$. From Theorem 1, $D_{B_{1}}\left(E^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is 0 -connected because $E^{\prime \prime}$ is connected in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.
$D_{B_{1}}\left(E^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is written as

$$
D_{B_{1}}\left(E^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left\{\left(x_{\text {int }}, y_{\text {int }}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}: \begin{array}{l}
y_{\text {int }} \geq \min _{\left(t_{x}, t_{t}\right) \in B_{1}}\left(f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{\text {int }}+t_{x}\right)-t_{y}\right), \\
y_{\text {int }} \leq \max _{\left(t_{x}, t_{y}\right) \in B_{1}}\left(f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{\text {int }}+t_{x}\right)-t_{y}\right)
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

We will transform it into $D_{V_{1}}^{\prime}(E)$. Since $-\frac{1}{2}+\left|t_{x}\right| \leq t_{y} \leq \frac{1}{2}-\left|t_{x}\right|$ for $\left(t_{x}, t_{y}\right) \in B_{1}, D_{B_{1}}\left(E^{\prime \prime}\right)$ can be rewritten as

$$
D_{B_{1}}\left(E^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll} 
& y_{\mathrm{int}} \geq \min _{t_{x} \in\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]}\left(f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+t_{x}\right)-\frac{1}{2}+\left|t_{x}\right|\right) \\
& \left.y_{\mathrm{int}}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}: \\
y_{t_{x} \in\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]}\left(f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+t_{x}\right)+\frac{1}{2}-\left|t_{x}\right|\right)
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Here, the minimum of $f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+t_{x}\right)-\frac{1}{2}+\left|t_{x}\right|$ and the maximum of $f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+t_{x}\right)+\frac{1}{2}-\left|t_{x}\right|$ with respect to $t_{x} \in\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ are at $t_{x}=-\frac{1}{2}, 0$ or $\frac{1}{2}$, because they are linear within $\left[x_{\text {int }}-\frac{1}{2}, x_{\text {int }}\right]$ and $\left[x_{\text {int }}, x_{\text {int }}+\frac{1}{2}\right]$. We thus obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{B_{1}}\left(E^{\prime \prime}\right)= \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}, y_{\mathrm{int}}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}: & y_{\mathrm{int}} \geq \min \left\{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}-\frac{1}{2}\right), f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+\frac{1}{2}\right), f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right\}, \\
& y_{\mathrm{int}} \leq \max \left\{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}-\frac{1}{2}\right), f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}+\frac{1}{2}\right), f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\right\}
\end{array}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equal to $D_{V_{1}}^{\prime}(E)$, because $f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{int}} \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)=f\left(x_{\mathrm{int}} \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)$, and $f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}\right)=f\left(x_{\mathrm{int}}\right)$ for $\forall x_{\text {int }} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For 2D explicit curves, thus, the analytical approximation introduced in [22] guarantees the same connectivity in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ that their morphological discretization does.

## 4 Conclusion

We investigated the connectivity relation between the morphological discretization and the analytical approximation introduced in [22] for 2D explicit continuous curves. We first showed that the morphological discretization of a 2D continuous curve with the structuring element $B_{1}$ (the ball of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ based on $l_{1}$ norm) guarantees 0 -connectivity of the obtained result. We then showed that the discretization of a 2D explicit curve by the analytical approximation has the same connectivity in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ that its morphological discretization has. Our proof was based on the idea that the analytical approximation for a 2D explicit curve can be seen as the morphological discretization of a piecewise linear approximation of the curve. Whether this property holds for parametric curves, or curves and surfaces in higher dimensions, will be investigated in our future work.
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